



Bioweapons Expert Speaks Out About US Biolabs in Ukraine

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

✓ Fact Checked

April 09, 2022

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › According to bioweapons expert Francis Boyle, Russia's accusation that Ukraine is conducting U.S.-funded bioweapons research appears to be accurate
- › If true, everyone involved is subject to life in prison under the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989
- › According to Boyle, the U.S. government and Pentagon have had a "comprehensive policy" to "surround Russia with biological warfare laboratories" and "preposition biological weapons" there for use against them
- › The problem with trying to make a distinction between "biodefense" and "biowarfare" is that, basically, there is none. No biodefense research is purely defensive, because to do biodefense work, you're automatically engaged in the creation of biological weapons, and all dual use research can be used for military purposes. SARS-CoV-2 may be the result of such dual use research
- › Boyle believes we can hold the culprits behind the SARS-CoV-2 bioweapon accountable by asking local prosecutors to convene a grand jury to seek the indictment of those responsible for the pandemic for murder and conspiracy to commit murder

In the video above, “InfoWars” host Owen Shroyer interviews Francis Boyle, Ph.D., a Harvard educated lawyer and bioweapons expert with a Ph.D. in political science, about the biolabs in Ukraine, which Russia claims are engaged in U.S.-funded bioweapons research.

For decades, Boyle has advocated against the development and use of bioweapons. In fact, he was the one who called for biowarfare legislation at the Biological Weapons Convention of 1972. He then went on to draft the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act, which was passed unanimously by both houses of Congress and signed into law by then-president George Bush Sr. in May 1989.

While the U.S. has vehemently denied Russia’s accusations, Boyle says that based on what he’s discovered so far, the labs in Ukraine are all conducting biological warfare research — including ethnic-specific biological weapons — at the behest of the U.S. Pentagon, just as Russian authorities are claiming.

“The Pentagon does not do missionary work,” he says. “They kill people, and that’s why they are there.” He also points out that everyone involved is subject to life in prison under the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989, which explains the mad scramble to project these labs as something other than what they are.

Ever-Changing Narrative Reveals the Lies

As noted by Shroyer, the official narrative surrounding the Ukraine biolabs has undergone multiple iterations over the past few weeks. It began with a flat denial of there being U.S. biolabs in Ukraine.

But within days, Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs Victoria Nuland blew a hole in that denial by admitting before Congress that “biological research laboratories” in Ukraine have been funded and operated under the direction of the U.S., and that she was “very concerned” about the contents of those labs being used as

bioweapons by the Russians.

Despite Nuland's concern about the pathogens being used in warfare, the Western media have tried to claim the labs were set up to destroy bioweapons from the Soviet era, or to "secure" old Soviet bioweapons, or that they're diagnostic labs, "health labs," biodefense laboratories, or that they're used for vaccine development. The story changes depending on who's telling it.

Boyle doesn't buy any of it. According to Boyle, the U.S. government and Pentagon have had a "comprehensive policy" in place for quite some time to "surround Russia with biological warfare laboratories" and "preposition biological weapons" there for use against Russia. The U.S. also has these kinds of labs in Central Asia, he says.

No Such Thing as 'Biodefense'

One of the latest explanations from a U.S. State Department spokesperson is that Ukraine has "biodefense" laboratories, which are "not biological weapons facilities."¹ The problem with making a distinction between "biodefense" and "biowarfare" is that, basically, there is none.

“ No biodefense research is purely defensive, because to do biodefense work, you're automatically engaged in the creation of biological weapons.”

All dual use research can be used for military purposes, and often is. As explained by Boyle, the idea behind "biodefense" research is that there might be a natural pathogen out there that can cause a pandemic, or someone

might release an engineered biological weapon, that we need to prepare a cure for.

But to create the cure – the vaccine – they must first create that imagined threat, be it a souped-up natural pathogen through gain-of-function or a synthetic bioweapon. So, all of this work is “offensive” in that it can be used for military purposes. No biodefense research is purely defensive, because to do biodefense work, you’re automatically engaged in the creation of biological weapons.

So, according to Boyle, the justification that the labs are for biodefense and health purposes is nothing but a façade for the fact that they’re conducting offensive biological warfare research with genetic research, gain-of-function and synthetic biology.

What Drives the Biowarfare Industry?

When asked what the motive behind this kind of research might be, Boyle highlights two potential reasons. First, he says, there’s a global “Nazi cult” that simply does not want to stop. They want to be able to rid the world of certain ethnic groups, hence the focus on DNA-based ethnic-specific weapons.

He points out that the United States is the only country in the world that has not abided by the law to get rid of all its biological weapons. Instead, we’ve been making more, under the guise of biodefense research.

President Reagan put Dr. Anthony Fauci in charge of the U.S. biological weapons program, and he’s been at it ever since. “About 95% of this biowarfare death science has been funded by Fauci, the NIAID and the NIH, since about 1984,” Boyle says.

In previous interviews, Boyle has stated he believes SARS-CoV-2 is an engineered bioweapon, and here, he again

reviews some of the reasons why. He points out that Shi Zheng-li, the famous bat coronavirus researcher at the Wuhan Institute of Virology in China, was trained at the biolab in Galveston, Texas, which is “part of U.S. biological warfare industry.”

“[Shi Zheng-li] took a synthetic biology organism from the Wuhan BSL4, brought it over to the University of North Carolina, BSL3, and then gave it gain-of-function properties under Ralph Baric, who's an expert on this, and his team.

And, by the way, the FDA was involved in this too. Now think about that. The FDA that is approving these Frankenshots that are killing and disabling people was involved in the development of COVID-19. So, they've got us on both sides here.

Likewise, [CDC director Rochelle] Walensky, who can't get her story straight either, she comes from Harvard Medical School. Harvard Medical School was involved in the development of the COVID-19 biological warfare weapon. Indeed, Harvard was one of the sponsoring institutions of the Wuhan BSL4.

The chair of the Harvard chemistry department, [Dr. Charles] Lieber, he was over there too, with his own lab specializing in nanotechnology. And Lieber had also worked for the Pentagon.”

The second motivating factor is money. Not only do they make money on the research and creation of these biological weapons, but they also make money on the supposed cures, be they vaccines or therapeutics.

The U.S. is not alone in creating dangerous biological weapons, of course. “The British are also a part of this, the French, the Israelis. We have a network and a cult of Nazi biowarfare death scientists,” Boyle says.

We Must Demand Accountability

In a 2021 interview with me, Boyle presented a roadmap for prosecuting COVID crimes and putting a stop to high-risk biological research. The problem we face is we've come to realize that even our federal government has been captured by forces that seek to destroy the U.S. from within. It's highly unlikely our federal authorities – including federal judges – will ever seek to enforce the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act.

The good news is, by focusing on locally elected prosecutors, we can work around this dilemma. Depending on the state, they may go by titles such as district attorney, state attorney, prosecuting attorney or county attorney.² In that interview, Boyle explained the plan:

"My proposal is this: The American people, at a state, local community basis, have to go to our local prosecutors, that is states' attorneys, district attorneys, county attorneys, any local prosecutor. And the last I read there were well over 400 of these in the country.

These local prosecutors are not part of the federal system. They have been empowered by the 10th Amendment to the United States Constitution. They are democratically elected by the people of their community.

Federal judges are not elected by anyone. They're appointed by the president and once they're on their bench with life tenure, they can only be removed by impeachment, which is almost impossible, and their salaries cannot be reduced. They're like God Almighty up there sitting on these federal benches.

Not so with state and local prosecutors. They're accountable to the people of the community ... I think that if we can even get one out of 400 local prosecutors to convene a grand jury and return indictments for

murder and conspiracy to commit murder, this whole house of cards will collapse.”

Prosecuting the COVID Conspirators

Boyle’s plan includes a two-step plan of prosecution, one aimed at those responsible for the COVID pandemic, and another for the COVID jabs. To stay on topic, I will only review the prosecution of the COVID conspirators here.

Boyle recommends getting organized on the local level, and going around to all your local prosecutors, demanding they convene a grand jury to seek the indictment of those responsible for COVID-19 for murder and conspiracy to commit murder.

Boyle has taught both criminal law and international human rights law. He also still practices criminal law, both prosecution and defense. According to Boyle, Anglo-American Common Law has a definition for “murder” that is applicable in all states of the U.S. with the exception of Louisiana, and that is “the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought.” He explained:

“Let’s parse that down. The word ‘unlawful’ – all these individuals I just mentioned from this contracted study ... were involved in research, development, testing and stockpiling of biological warfare weapons in clear-cut violation of my Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989.

[The term] ‘killing of human beings’ – I think the number of Americans who have been killed, murdered by COVID-19, is well over a million ... And then, ‘with malice aforethought’ – ‘malice aforethought’ is a legal term of art.

It would take me three, four, five different class sessions to go through it all, but one element of malice of

forethought is reckless endangerment of human beings and, clearly, they knew. If you read the contracts and the studies, they knew ... that this gain-of-function work was existentially dangerous and they did it anyway.

Clearly that constitutes 'reckless endangerment of human beings,' 'malice aforethought.' So, you have all the elements there for murder, and then 'conspiracy to commit murder.' In common law, 'conspiracy' is two [or more] people getting together to agree to do an unlawful act – such as the violation of my statute – or a lawful act by illegal means.

So, I think we have all these people at least for murder and conspiracy to commit murder, and my advice is that if you have lost a loved one or a friend living in the territorial jurisdiction of any of these local prosecutors, they would have jurisdiction to convene a grand jury and seek indictments for murder and conspiracy to commit murder against all of these people.”

But how do we begin to identify the culprits? Boyle suggests starting the indictment list with the 15 co-authors of the 2015 paper, “SARS-Like Cluster of Circulating Bat Coronavirus Pose Threat for Human Emergence,”³ and those who funded it.

These include former NIH director Dr. Francis Collins, Fauci, Peter Daszak (president of EcoHealth Alliance), CDC director Dr. Rochelle Walensky, Ralph Baric, Ph.D., and several other named researchers at the University of North Carolina. According to Boyle, that paper is “the smoking gun on who is behind COVID-19.”

Resources

To aid you in these efforts, Boyle recommends reading Australian journalist Sharri Markson’s book, “What Really

Happened in Wuhan?” “I think she’s got it all there,” Boyle told me. “She has a valid summary of all the evidence that has been compiled so far, involving all these individuals.”

Another excellent book is Robert Kennedy Jr.’s book, “The Real Anthony Fauci.” There’s also a September 2020 House Minority Intelligence Committee report that reviews the evidence available at the time on the origins of COVID-19 (“[The Origins of the COVID-19 Global Pandemic, Including the Roles of the Chinese Communist Party and the World Health Organization](#)”⁴).

All of these references can be taken to your local prosecutor to seek indictments for murder and conspiracy to commit murder. Boyle may also make himself available as a consultant or expert witness in cases where a grand jury is successfully convened.

To identify your local district attorney, you can do an online search or simply look up the name up on your most recent ballot. Remember, you need to connect with the prosecutors personally. An email campaign won’t get you anywhere in this scenario. Hopefully you can also identify individuals in your local community who are eloquent, articulate and knowledgeable about the facts.

Last but not least, this strategy is likely to be far more effective if you live in a jurisdiction in which the state attorney general has already filed lawsuits in the federal court system. So, look at the list below. If you happen to live in one of these areas, the odds of your efforts being successful are very high, as they have already filed suit in federal court. All you need to do is convince them to convene a grand jury locally, and indict these criminals for murder.

These are the names and addresses of the 27 state attorneys general who are suing the Biden administration over the COVID-19 vaccine mandates as of November 12, 2021. Each state is hyperlinked, as some have additional

information about COVID and their lawsuits on their sites.

For example, Arizona's attorney general has written an extensive legal opinion on why the federal government does not have jurisdiction for a vaccine mandate in Arizona. The lawsuits have been filed in the 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 11th Circuit Courts of Appeal.

Alabama	Steve Marshall	Attorney General's Office State of Alabama 501 Washington Avenue Montgomery, AL 36104
Alaska	Treg R. Taylor	Alaska Department of Law 1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99501-1994
Arizona	Mark Brnovich	2005 N Central Ave Phoenix, AZ 85004-2926
Arkansas	Leslie Rutledge	Office of Attorney General 323 Center Street, Suite 200 Little Rock, AR 72201
Florida	Ashley Moody	Office of the Attorney General State of Florida

		PL-01 The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
Georgia	Christopher M. Carr	40 Capitol Square, SW Atlanta, GA 30334
Idaho	Lawrence G. Wasden	700 W. Jefferson Street P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0010
Indiana	Todd Rokita	Office of the Indiana Attorney General Indiana Government Center South 302 W. Washington St., 5th Floor Indianapolis, IN 46204
Iowa	Tom Miller	Office of the Attorney General of Iowa Hoover State Office Building 1305 E. Walnut Street Des Moines IA 50319

Kansas	Derek Schmidt	120 SW 10th Ave., 2nd Floor Topeka, KS 66612
Kentucky	Daniel Cameron	Office of the Attorney General 700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3449
Louisiana	Jeff Landry	Louisiana Department of Justice – Attorney General 300 Capital Drive Baton Rouge, LA 70802
Mississippi	Lynn Fitch	P.O. Box 220 Jackson, MS 39205
Missouri	Eric Schmitt	Missouri Attorney General's Office Supreme Court Building 207 W. High St. P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Montana	Austin Knudsen	Attorney General 215 N Sanders St, Helena, MT 59601
Nebraska	Doug Peterson	Nebraska Attorney General's Office 2115 State Capitol PO Box 98920 Lincoln, NE 68509
New Hampshire	John M. Formella	New Hampshire Department of Justice 33 Capitol St Concord, NH 03301
North Dakota	Wayne Stenehjem	Office of Attorney General 600 East Boulevard Avenue, Department 125 Bismarck, ND 58505-0040
Ohio	Dale Yost	30 E. Broad St., 14th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Oklahoma	John O'Connor	313 NE 21st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105
South Carolina	Alan Wilson	The Honorable Alan Wilson P.O. Box 11549 Columbia, S.C. 29211
South Dakota	Jason R. Ravensborg	Office of the Attorney General 1302 E Hwy 14 Suite 1 Pierre SD 57501-8501
Tennessee	Herbert Slatery III	Office of the Attorney General and Reporter P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202-0207
Texas	Ken Paxton	Office of the Attorney General PO Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548
Utah	Sean D. Reyes	Office of the Attorney General Utah State Capitol Complex 350 North State Street Suite 230

		Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320
West Virginia	Patrick Morrisey	State Capitol Complex, Bldg. 1, Room E-26 Charleston, WV 25305
Wyoming	Bridget Hill	109 State Capitol Cheyenne, WY 82002

Sources and References

- ¹ [Newsweek March 9, 2022](#)
- ² [OJP.gov The Prosecution Function: Local Prosecutors and the Attorney General](#)
- ³ [Nature Medicine 2015; 21\(12\): 1508–1513](#)
- ⁴ [House Foreign Affairs Committee Minority Staff Report, The Origins of the COVID-19 Global Pandemic, Including the Roles of \[...\] September 21, 2021](#)