



Are We Being Set Up for Mass Depopulation?

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

✓ Fact Checked

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › Michael Yeadon, Ph.D., a life science researcher and former vice-president and chief scientist of allergy and respiratory research at Pfizer, fears the combination of vaccine passports and booster vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 variants may be part of a mass depopulation agenda
- › Asymptomatic spread is a fallacy capitalized upon to spread fear and induce compliance. Only people who have discernible symptoms of a respiratory infection pose any health risk to others, because to be an efficient source of infection, you need a high viral load. If you have a high viral load, your immune system will fight back, which always induces symptoms
- › The myth of asymptomatic spread was used to justify lockdowns, which in turn were a tool get you used to giving up your freedoms and go along with the intentional decimation of the global economy and old way of life, thereby justifying the Great Reset
- › The Great Reset is about transferring global wealth and ownership rights to the technocratic elite, and giving them the power to control the world's nations
- › Digital vaccine passports will form the foundation of an unprecedented surveillance and control platform into which your entire life will be tied, from health records to biometric ID, to an all-digital centralized banking system and a social credit system, all of which can be turned off in order to coerce you into a particular behavior

How do you market and implement a financial system that nobody would want if they understood its full ramifications — a change so huge that it not only would mean the end of currency as we know it, but a total revision of sovereignty and individual rights?

In the interview above, which is part of the full-length documentary “Planet Lockdown,”¹ Michael Yeadon, Ph.D., a life science researcher and former vice-president and chief scientist of allergy and respiratory research at Pfizer, shares his views on the COVID-19 pandemic, [fast-tracked COVID-19 “vaccines,”](#) the issue of mutated virus variants and the need for booster shots, and how this manufactured crisis is being used to strip us of our civil liberties.

Are You Putting the Pieces Together?

Yeadon has a degree in biochemistry and toxicology and a research-based Ph.D. in respiratory pharmacology. He’s spent 32 years of his career working for large pharmaceutical companies, and 10 years in the biotechnology sector.

“I’m in favor of all modes of new medical treatments, whether they’re biologicals or vaccines, small molecules, creams, sprays, ointments, whatever, but I’m fervently against unsafe medicines or medicines used in an inappropriate context,” Yeadon says.

“Some of the things I’m going to say are not favorable to the current crop of gene-based vaccines and it’s [because] they’re being inappropriately used. I don’t think they have a sufficient safety profile to be used as a sort of wide-spectrum public health prophylactic ...

A few things have allowed me, I think, to spot what’s going on in the world at the moment. One, I’ve loved biology since I was little. I’ve been continuing to learn and to apply biology broadly, whether it’s pharmacology, biochemistry, molecular biology [or] toxicology. I’ve got a very broad grounding in all things

to do with life science, in terms of health and disease.

[Secondly], one of my former supervisors said that I had a remarkable facility that stood out above the sort of ordinary things you'd have to do to be a vice president or a CEO. He said I was able to spot patterns in sparse data earlier than my peers. So, when there's not enough data for most people to judge what was going on, I would often be able to see it.

I could see a pattern forming when there wasn't quite enough information ... On this occasion, it allowed me, quite quickly, to work out that what we were being told about this virus and what we needed to do in order to stay safe was simply not true."

A Massive Fraud Has Been Perpetrated

Yeadon starts out by highlighting the "enormous changes" made in the U.K.'s attribution of causes of deaths. If you die within 28 days of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, you are counted as a COVID-19 death, regardless of other underlying conditions. The same thing was done in the U.S. As noted by Yeadon:

"We've never had anything as absurd as the rule that is now used. It's not just a matter of disagreeing professionally. It's just complete nonsense."

The shutdown of businesses and forcing healthy people to self-isolate also makes no sense. Yeadon points out that only people who are ill, who have discernible symptoms of a respiratory infection, pose any health risk to others:

"To be a good, efficient source of infection, you have to have a lot of virus. And if you have a lot of viruses attacking you, you are fighting back. That process produces symptoms, inevitably, not just occasionally. It must always happen ...

And those people are not people who are walking around in the community,

because if you're full of virus and symptomatic, you are also ill, and ill people tend to stay at home or in bed."

Asymptomatic spread, which has no sound basis, was used to justify lockdowns, which never had any basis in fact or science either. **Lockdowns** were implemented for entirely other reasons, namely to get you used to giving up your freedoms and your normal way of life, and to make you psychologically dependent on an outside source telling you when it's OK to do what.

It's obedience training and a tool to get the population of the world to go along with the intentional decimation of the global economy and old way of life, thereby justifying **the Great Reset**, which is about transferring global wealth and ownership rights to the technocratic elite, and giving them the power to control the world's nations.

"Basically, everything your government has told you about this virus, everything you need to do to stay safe, is a lie," Yeadon says. "Every part of it ... None of the key themes that you hear talked about – from asymptomatic transmission to top-up vaccines [i.e., booster shots] – not one of those things is supported by the science.

Every piece is cleverly chosen adjacently to something that probably is true, but is itself a lie, and has led people to where we are right now. I don't normally use phrases like this, but I think we are standing at the very gates of hell ... It's all about control ...

The reason I'm commenting is because I believe it's not just about my life. More importantly, [it's the lives] of my children and grandchildren that are being stolen ... by a systematic process of fear and control that's going to culminate in, I think, some very horrible times, and I'm desperate to wake you up ...

We're probably quite used to politicians occasionally telling white lies, and we

kind of let them, but when they lie to you about something technical, something that you can check, and they do it [with] many, many elements of the whole event, then please, you've got to believe me, [they're] not telling the truth.

And if they're not telling the truth, that means there's something else. And I'm here to tell you that there is something very, very bad happening. If you don't pay attention, you will soon lose any chance to do anything about it."

Science Has Been Turned on Its Head

Yeadon rightly notes that everything we've known about virology and infectious disease has been turned upside down during this pandemic. None of the standard responses known to protect people from infectious disease was followed. Normally, you quarantine the sick to contain the infection.

Locking down entire societies has never been done and has no foundation in science or the history of epidemic control. Similarly, mass testing people without symptoms is without precedence. It simply isn't done, and for good reason. It's a waste of resources because as Yeadon explained earlier, we know how viruses spread. This isn't our first rodeo. We've dealt with infectious epidemics before.

We know how viruses work in the body. When you have an active infection, you develop symptoms as your body mounts its defense. Without symptoms, your viral load is too low to pose a threat, either to yourself or others. The myth about asymptomatic spread has been a fear tactic.

T-Cell Immunity Is Far More Important Than Antibodies

Yeadon goes on to review how we've been misled about immunity and how your body fights off viruses. You've probably heard that the thing that gives you immunity against SARS-CoV-2 is SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies.

The entire vaccination campaign is built around the premise that by injecting a synthetic piece of viral RNA into your cells, your body will start producing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, in response to which your body will produce specific antibodies that recognize that protein. This is also known as humoral immunity.

However, while antibodies are important, especially in bacterial infections, antibodies are not the only part of your immunity. More importantly, immunity against viruses — opposed to bacteria — actually does not depend on antibodies. Yeadon explains:

“Viruses are really tiny, and their business is to get as quickly as they can inside your cells. So, they bind to a receptor on the surface and inject themselves into your cell. So, they’re inside. Antibodies are big molecules and they’re generally outside your cells.

So just think about that for a moment. Antibodies and viruses are in separate compartments. The virus is inside the cell, the antibodies outside the cell. I'm not saying antibodies have no role, but they're really not very important. This has been proven. There are some people in whom a natural experiment has occurred.

They have a defect and they actually don't make antibodies, but they're able to fight off COVID-19, the virus SARS-CoV-2, quite well. The way they do that is, they have T-cell immunity, cellular immunity. [T-cells] are cells that are trained to detect virus-infected cells and to kill those cells. That's how you defend yourself against a virus.

So, all of these mentions of antibody levels, it's just bunk. It is not a good measure of whether or not you're immune. It does give evidence that you've been infected, but their persistence is not important as to whether you've got immunity ...

We've known this for decades. We've known about T-cells for decades. They were clearly in my undergraduate textbooks. And we've known about their

importance in defending you against respiratory viruses since probably the 1970s, certainly the 1980s. So, don't believe anything where people suggest to you that their role is uncertain. We've known for a very long time that they are absolutely central."

Antibodies Are Not the Answer to Variants

The central role of T-cell immunity, or cellular immunity, becomes particularly pertinent when discussing the threat of variants, mutated forms of SARS-CoV-2. As mentioned, your immune system is a multifaceted system that allows your body to mount defenses against all sorts of threats. Parasites, fungi, bacteria and viruses are the main threat categories.

Each of these invades and threatens you in completely different ways, and your immune system has ways of dealing with all of them, using a variety of mechanisms.

"You've got four or five different arms of the immune system: innate immunity, mucosal, antibody, T-cells and compliment[ary systems]," Yeadon says.

"There are all of these different wonderful systems that have integrated, one with another, because it needs to defend you against all sorts of different threats in the environment. What I'm telling you is that the emphasis on antibodies in respect of respiratory viral infections is wrong, and you can establish that quite easily by doing some searching."

In essence, what Yeadon is saying is that whether you're going to be susceptible to variants has very little to do with whether or not you have antibodies against SARS-CoV-2, because antibodies are not your primary defense against viruses. Your T-cells are the ones doing the heavy lifting.

What this means then, is that getting booster shots for different variants is not going to help you. It will not solve the problem, because these shots do not strengthen your

T-cell immunity.

Carefully Rethink Need for Booster Shots

Of all the lies we've been told over the past year, the ones that worry and frighten Yeadon the most are the lies about virus variants and booster shots. In fact, he believes not buying into these lies may be key to your very survival, and here's why:

"It's quite normal for RNA viruses like SARS-CoV-2, when it replicates, to make typographical errors. It's got a very good error detection, error correction system so it doesn't make too many typos, but it does make some, and those are called 'variants.'

It's really important to know that if you find the variant that's most different from the sequence identified in Wuhan, that variance ... is only 0.3% different from the original sequence.

I'll say it another way. If you find the most different variance, it's 99.7% identical to the original one, and I can assure you ... that amount of difference is absolutely NOT possibly able to represent itself to you as a different virus."

He explains how, earlier in the pandemic, scientists obtained blood from patients who had been sickened with the SARS virus 17 or 18 years ago. SARS-CoV-1, responsible for that SARS outbreak, is 80% similar to SARS-CoV-2.

They wanted to know if the immune systems of these patients would be able to recognize SARS-CoV-2. They did. They still had memory T-cells against SARS-CoV-1, and those cells also recognized SARS-CoV-2, despite being only 80% similar. Now, if a 20% difference was not enough to circumvent the immune system of these patients, why should you be concerned with a variant that is at most 0.3% different from the original SARS-CoV-2?

"When your government scientists tell you that a variant that's 0.3% different

from SARS-CoV-2 could masquerade as a new virus and be a threat to your health, you should know, and I'm telling you, they are lying," Yeadon says.

"If they're lying, and they are, why is the pharmaceutical industry making top-up [booster] vaccines? You should be terrified at this point, as I am, because there's absolutely no possible justification for their manufacture. And the world's medicines regulators have said, 'Because they are quite similar to the original vaccines ... we won't be asking them to do any clinical safety studies.'"

Are We Seeing a Mass Depopulation Agenda in Action?

Yeadon stresses that variants simply aren't different enough to represent a threat, which is why you don't now, and won't in the future, need one or more booster shots. Yet they're already being made, and regulators are giving them a free pass when it comes to safety and efficacy studies.

"I'm very frightened of that. There's no possible benign interpretation of this," Yeadon says. "I believe they're going to be used to damage your health and possibly kill you. Seriously. I can see no sensible interpretation other than a serious attempt at mass depopulation.

This will provide the tools to do it, and plausible deniability. They'll create another story about some sort of biological threat and you'll line up and get your top-up vaccines, and a few months or a year or so later, you'll die of some peculiar inexplicable syndrome. And they won't be able to associate it with the vaccines.

That's my belief – that they're lying to you about variants so they can make damaging top-up vaccines that you don't need at all. I think they will be used for malign purposes ... We know that the people [SARS-CoV-2] injures and kills are only people who are elderly and or ill, usually both, so we're talking

about less than 0.1% [of the population] ...

Given that this virus represents, at worst, a slightly bigger risk to the old and ill than influenza, and a smaller risk [than influenza] to almost everyone else ... it was never necessary for us to have done anything. We didn't need to do anything. [We didn't need] lockdowns, masks, mass testing, vaccines.

There are multiple therapeutic drugs that are at least as effective as the vaccines are. They're already available and cheap. Inhaled corticosteroids that are used in asthma reduced symptomatology by about 90%.

An off-patent drug called ivermectin, one of the most widely-used drugs in the world, is also able to reduce symptoms at any stage of the disease, including lethality by about 90%. So, you don't need vaccines and you don't need any of the measures that have been introduced at all."

Key Safety Concerns of mRNA 'Vaccines'

In December 2020, Yeadon filed a petition² calling on the European Medicine Agency to halt Phase 3 clinical trials of the Pfizer mRNA vaccine until they've been restructured to address critical safety concerns. Of course, those trials were not halted. The four key safety concerns Yeadon specified in his petition³ were:

1. The potential for formation of non-neutralizing antibodies that can trigger an exaggerated immune reaction (referred to as paradoxical immune enhancement or antibody-dependent immune amplification) when the individual is exposed to the real "wild" virus post-vaccination.

Antibody-dependent amplification has been repeatedly demonstrated in coronavirus vaccine trials on animals.⁴ While the animals initially tolerated the vaccine well and had robust immune responses, they later became severely ill or died when infected with the wild virus. Put plainly, the vaccine increased their susceptibility to the virus and made them more likely to die from the infection.

2. Pfizer's mRNA vaccine contains polyethylene glycol (PEG), and studies have shown 70% of people develop antibodies against this substance. This suggests PEG may trigger fatal allergic reactions in many who receive the vaccine.

Indeed, within days of the vaccine's release, reports started coming in of people having life-threatening anaphylactic reactions,⁵ leading to warnings that people with known allergies should not take the Pfizer vaccine.⁶ Since then, anaphylactic reactions have been reported by recipients of the Moderna mRNA vaccine as well.⁷

3. The mRNA vaccine triggers your body to produce antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, and spike proteins in turn contain syncytin-homologous proteins that are essential for the formation of placenta. If a woman's immune system starts reacting against syncytin-1, then there is the possibility she could become infertile.

This is an issue that none of the vaccine studies is looking at specifically. Mass vaccinating women of childbearing age against COVID-19 could potentially have the devastating consequence of causing mass infertility if the vaccine triggers an immune reaction against syncytin-1.

4. The studies are far too brief in duration to allow a realistic estimation of side effects. Depending on what those effects end up being, millions of people may be exposed to unacceptable risk in return for a very minor benefit.

Health Freedom Undermined in the Name of 'Emergency'

Even more fundamental than any particular safety concern is the fact that a vaccination campaign of this magnitude, using an entirely novel technology, sets a most dangerous public health precedent. By drumming up unnecessary panic, many are now willing to forgo all manner of freedom in the name of responding to a global health emergency.

One of these core freedoms is your right to refuse an experimental medical procedure. This freedom was acknowledged in the Nuremberg Code of 1947⁸ and enshrined in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which states that “no one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation.”⁹

Yet despite that, and despite the fact that clinical vaccine trials are still two years out from being completed, governments around the world are talking about making these **vaccinations mandatory**, or blackmailing people to take them against their will by encouraging private businesses to restrict access to vaccinated-only.

As noted by Yeadon and many others, the implementation of **vaccine passports** has nothing to do with protecting public health and everything to do with setting into place a surveillance, tracking and control mechanism that can easily be expanded into all other areas of life, thereby controlling your every move.

“[Vaccine passports] are not required at all,” Yeadon says. “What they provide, though, is complete control over your movements to whoever controls the database that your vaccination status is connected to. I hope you grasp this because this is not optional.

This is what's going to take over your life in a way that George Orwell in '1984' didn't even dream of. Imagine you've been vaccinated and you've been awarded a vaccine passport on an app. It's going to be the world's first database that contains your name, a unique digital ID in the same format as absolutely everybody else on the planet on the same database.

It'll have like an editable health-related flag that will say [whether] you've been vaccinated. If you haven't been, the algorithm that rules that works out what you can do ... That's what's going to control the rest of your lives until you die.”

Vaccine Passport Is a Ticket to Tyranny, or Worse

Indeed, I've written several articles detailing how the tracking of vaccination status will usher in a [surveillance apparatus greater than anything we've ever experienced before](#).

The precedent being set up right now is one that, in the future, will grant health authorities the "right" to force any number of experimental drugs, vaccines and technologies upon us in the name of public health. If the right to refuse an experimental medical procedure is not upheld now, the entire population of the earth will be available for experimentation without recourse.

“ I'm absolutely terrified that the combination of vaccine passports and top-up vaccines is going to lead to mass depopulation, deliberate execution, potentially of billions of people. ~ Michael Yeadon, Ph.D.”

But that's not all. This initial vaccine surveillance system will ultimately be tied into other digital systems, such as all other medical records, biometric ID and an all-digital banking system.

The implementation of a [Google-based social credit system](#), similar to that implemented in China in 2018, is also highly likely. Under a social credit system, points are awarded or subtracted for certain types of behavior. When your score falls below a certain point, punishment is meted out in the form of travel restrictions or the inability to obtain a loan, for example.

“Don't allow their system to come into force,” Yeadon says. “It's going to be used to coerce you. I believe if you allow a vaccine passport to come into force, you'll be pinged one day and it'll advise you to go to the medical center to have your top-up vaccine.”

If you choose not to get your vaccine, your passport validity will expire, which

means you won't be able to enter a shop. You may not be able to use your bank card. All somebody needs to do is set a rule that says 'After a given a date, before any bank card can be used, a vaccine passport has to be [validated] ...

I'm absolutely terrified that the combination of vaccine passports and top-up vaccines is going to lead to mass depopulation, deliberate execution, potentially of billions of people.

You can stop it once you've heard what I'm saying. Even if you like the idea of vaccine passports, put the thing in place using written records or something ... but do not allow it to be on an interoperable global fixed-format database, because that will be the end of human freedoms. And I just see no way of recovering from that."